

V

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/545,964	04/10/2000	Hugh Hind	555255012123	3244
7590 04/02/2004			EXAMINER	
David B Cochran Esq Jones Day Reavis & Pogue North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114			TO, BAOQUOC N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	r
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•						
÷ *	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/545,964	HIND ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Baoquoc N To	2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would learned canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		4.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen 10. Other: <i>PTO-892</i>	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	ALFORD KINDRED				
		PRIMARY EXAMINER				



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment raises new issues that require further search and consideration "the first pair of synchronization parameters stored at the first database and wherein the first synchronization parameter and is indicative of a current version of the data record stored at the first database and the second synchronization parameter is communication device synchronizatio parameter and is indicative of a current version of the data record stored at he second database." The office contacts Mr. Paul Franz regarding to the proposed amendment which distinguishes from the cited prior art, at the same time, the office also indicate a another search is required in order to conclude allowability of the current application.